

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/572,879                            | 12/11/2006                     | Roberto Defilippi    | 33033-1090          | 3660             |  |
| 45263<br>MITCHELL P                   | 7590 08/18/200<br>BROOK        | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP |                                |                      | COLEMAN, KEITH A    |                  |  |
| SAN DIEGO.                            | MINO REAL, SUITE 2<br>CA 92130 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                                       |                                | 3747                 |                     |                  |  |
|                                       |                                |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                       |                                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                       |                                |                      | 08/18/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/572,879      | DEFILIPPI, ROBERTO |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |  |
| KEITH COLEMAN   | 3747               |  |  |
|                 |                    |  |  |

|  | KEITH COLEMAN   | 3747   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL  | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following in<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance       | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>it, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection  | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date<br>have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period red<br>valued red 77 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office<br>may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL.   | ension and the corresponding amount<br>nortened statutory period for reply orig                                     | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Office                | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |   |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | sideration and/or search (see NO v);  | TE below);   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or      (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  |   |  | ie issues ioi                            |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | orresponding number of finally rep  | bottou ciairris.   |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>  |   | mpliant Amendment (l   | PTOL-324).                               |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the control of |   | timely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the                         |
| non-allowable claim(s).  No for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | ll be entered and an e   | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail:  | s to provide a                           |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach  | ed.                                      |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>  | does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowan  | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |
| /Stephen K. Cronin/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747  | /K. C./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3747  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that the taper is not part of the elongated body. However, as shown in Figure 5 the left end of the taper is attached to an elongated body housed in a cavity and clearly reads on the claimed subject matter. As such, the request for reconsideration is denied.